



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/817,124	03/27/2001	Toshiyuki Sudo	2355.12213	8950		
5514 7	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PAPPAS	PAPPAS, PETER		
NEW YORK,	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2671			
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of an	Ap	peal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/817,124	SUDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must bandenders.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jootou olaliille.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	,
	SUPI	ULKA CHAUH ERVISORY PATÉN'	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: New issues include the limitation: "...a plurality of very small diameter parallel rays arranged at high density and deflects the rays...".